#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 443 293 FL 026 333

AUTHOR Crookall, David; Lopez-Nerney, Susan; Jessie, Teng Sze Mei;

Mei, Wu Siew; Toh, Jacqueline E.; Ismail, Norhayati Bte

Mohd; Meyer, Lisa; Jacobs, George M.

TITLE Student-Initiated, Out-of-Class Academic Collaboration among

Students at a Singapore University.

PUB DATE 2000-00-00

NOTE 23p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS College Students; Foreign Countries; Higher Education;

\*Interaction Process Analysis; Questionnaires; \*Self Directed Groups; \*Student Attitudes; \*Study Habits

IDENTIFIERS Singapore

#### ABSTRACT

This study investigates the behavior of university students in Singapore regarding (1) the extent and manner in which they study together outside of class and (2) their perceptions of the quality and value of out-of-class academic collaboration (OCAC). Undergraduates (n=457) from four different campuses of the National University of Singapore participated. Data were collected via a questionnaire that asked about such areas as places in which students did OCAC, frequency of OCAC, purposes of OCAC, size of OCAC groups, choice of OCAC groupmates, perceived advantages and disadvantages of OCAC, and conditions for promoting successful OCAC. Based on the theoretical literature on learning, the small amount of research on OCAC, and researchers' experiences as teachers and learners at a wide variety of institutions around the world, OCAC is viewed as valuable and should be encouraged. This study is regarded as a logical and necessary first step -- the collection of descriptive data on the current state of OCAC. The survey instrument is appended. Numerous tables and charts are included. (Contains 19 references.) (Author/KFT)



FL026333

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

George Jarobs

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

# Student-Initiated, Out-Of-Class Academic Collaboration among Students at a Singapore University

David Crookall, National University Of Singapore, Singapore Susan Lopez-Nerney, National University Of Singapore, Singapore Teng Sze Mei Jessie, National University Of Singapore, Singapore Wu Siew Mei, National University Of Singapore, Singapore Toh L S, Jacqueline E, National University Of Singapore, Singapore Norhayati Bte Mohd Ismail, National University Of Singapore, Singapore Lisa Meyer, National University Of Singapore, Singapore George M Jacobs, SEAMEO Regional Language Centre, Singapore gmjacobs@pacific.net.sg

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

#### Abstract

Students can study together not only in the classroom but outside the classroom as well. This article reports an investigation of the behavior of university students in Singapore in regards to the extent and manner in which of their own initiative they study together outside of class and the students' perceptions of out-of-class academic collaboration (OCAC). Participants in the descriptive study were 457 undergraduates at the National University of Singapore from four different faculties at the university. Data were collected via a questionnaire that asked about such areas as places in which students did OCAC, frequency of OCAC, purposes of OCAC, size of OCAC groups, choice of OCAC groupmates, perceived advantages and disadvantages of OCAC, and conditions for promoting successful OCAC. Suggestions are made for future research, and for increasing and enhancing the use of OCAC.

A large body of research suggests that classroom collaboration among students is



associated with enhanced outcomes on a number of variables, such as achievement, thinking skills, interethnic relations, liking for school, and self-esteem (for reviews of this research, see Bossert, 1988-1989; Cohen, 1994; Johnson & Johnson, 1989; Sharan, 1980; Slavin, 1995). The concept of collaboration among learners has many roots, but cognitive psychology represents a key inspiration. Cognitive psychologists prioritize the role of learners rather than teachers and materials. Students are seen as active constructors of their own knowledge not empty vessels to be filled with knowledge (Brown, Collins, & Duguid, 1989; Bruner, 1966). Teachers working from cognitive perspectives attempt to facilitate their students' learning because these teachers understand they cannot control their students' learning. In reference to university education, Palmer (1998:6) states this concept in the following way:

I have no question that students who learn, not professors who perform, is what teaching is all about. ... Teachers possess the power to create conditions that can help students learn a great deal--or keep them from learning much at all. Teaching is the intentional act of creating those conditions.

Students collaborate not just inside the classroom but outside the classroom as well. Bloom (1984) and Walberg (1984) highlight this out-of class academic collaboration (OCAC) as a key factor in academic success. A small body of existing research supports the contention that OCAC can help students become more successful academically. This research involved such diverse participants as disabled tertiary students (Finn, 1997), non-disabled primary and secondary school students who form peer support groups with disabled students (Cushing & Kennedy 1997), and entering

### **BEST COPY AVAILABLE**

3



tertiary level students (Ignash, 1993).

In another example of OCAC, Sokolove conducted an investigation in which after US university students had completed a biology examination he asked them to indicate whether or not they had studied for the exam alone or with other students. Those who indicated that they had studied together outperformed those who said they had studied alone to a statistically significant extent. In yet another example of OCAC, while teaching at a US university, Treisman (1983) observed that the isolation of students of certain minority ethnic groups hindered their academic success leading to relatively lower grades and lower graduation rates. He noted that, in contrast, informal study groups seemed to be common among students from the majority ethnic group. These OCAC groups appeared to help their members learn and become better integrated into academic life at the university. From this observation, Treisman worked to establish a programme to help minority students, one component of which was the setting up of OCAC groups. The programme led to higher grades and enhanced graduation prospects for participants.

OCAC might be divided into three types: that initiated by students, that required by teachers, and that sponsored by institutions. The Sokolove study represents a case of student-initiated (SI) OCAC. In another example of SI OCAC, in Thailand, George (1999) discovered that her graduate students took their own initiative to create what she termed "homework cartels" that met regularly to do homework together. When a teacher assigns students to work in groups to complete a project, that would constitute a case of teacher-required OCAC. The kind of programme that Treisman helped to establish offers an example of institutionally-sponsored OCAC. This paper focuses on student-initiated

(SI) OCAC. Here students take the initiative themselves (without prompting or requirement by teachers) to form groups to work outside their class times. Students-initiated OCAC may, of course, come about as a result of prior experience with teacher-required or institutionally-sponsored OCAC - motivated perhaps by new friendships or feeling of belonging to the group.

Based on the theoretical literature on learning, the small amount of research on OCAC, and our own experiences as learners and teachers at a wide variety of institutions in a number of countries, we believe that OCAC is of value and should be encouraged. However, before one can encourage a phenomenon, it is first necessary to collect descriptive data on the current state of that phenomenon. The collection and analysis of such date was the main purpose of the present study. This study focused only on SI OCAC. By studying SI OCAC, we hoped to also gain insight into how to organise other types of OCAC, as well as in-class collaboration.

### Method

### **Research Ouestions**

We hoped to gain insight into the following questions:

- 1. How widespread is SI OCAC?
- 2. Under what circumstances do students most often engage in OCAC? Why do students engage in OCAC?
- 3. How long do their OCAC study sessions commonly last? Whom do students choose as their OCAC group mates? How big are their groups?

- 4. What do students perceive as the advantages and disadvantages of OCAC?
- 5. What do students perceive as key elements of successful OCAC?

### **Participants**

The study was done at the National University of Singapore (NUS), located in the Republic of Singapore. The university is composed of ten faculties (e.g., Faculty of Law) and has approximately 19,000 undergraduate and 8,000 graduate students. The overwhelming majority of NUS students are Singaporean, however, the percentage of foreign students is increasing. The four largest ethnic groups in Singapore, in descending order of size, are Chinese, Malay, Indian, and Eurasian. A total of 457 undergraduate students participated in the study. They were from four faculties: Computer Science, Arts and Social Sciences, Business, and Engineering. The demographic breakdown of participants is shown in Table 1.

Table 1

Breakdown of Participants in Percentages by Faculty, Sex, Year, and Ethnic Group

	First year	Second year	Third year	Totals	Female	Male
Computer Science	6	10	0	16	5	12
Arts & Social Sciences	22	1	7	30	26	4
Engineering	0	32	0	32	7	24
Business	12	9	0	21	14	8
Total	41	52	7	100	52	48
Female	30	17	5	52		

Male	11	35	2	48		
Totals	41	51	8	100	Female	Male
Chinese	38	49	7	93	49	45
Indian	2	2	0	4	2	3
Malay	1/2	1	0	1	1	1
Eurasian & Other	0	0	1	1	1	1/2
	Computing	Business	ASS	Engineer	Total	
Chinese	16	20	27	30	93	
Indian	1	1	1	2	4	
Malay	0	. 0	1	0	1	
Eurasian & Other	0	0	1	0	1	

Most percentages are rounded to cents. Less than 1% is rounded to ½ %.

### **Data Collection and Analysis**

Questionnaire design and dissemination. A student questionnaire was designed, pilot tested and rewritten. The pilot testing sought to determine whether the items were clear and whether it was reasonable to expect participants to complete the questionnaire within ten minutes, the maximum time that we anticipated could be spared from course time. (See the Appendix for the final version of the questionnaire.) Questionnaires were completed in the classes of those members of the research team who taught at NUS.

<u>Coding.</u> Not all students completed all the items, and sometimes their writing was not sufficiently legible to include in the data analysis. Most of the questionnaire items

were close-ended. However, three items were open-ended: items 2, 7, and 8. These were coded into quantitative format. Inter-rater agreement was calculated for the coding of each of the open-ended items. The first (Item 2) asked about where students studied together. Responses were grouped into 10 categories. Inter-rater agreement was 100%. Items 7a and 7b asked about advantages and disadvantages of OCAC groups, respectively. Responses were coded into 10 and 12 categories, respectively with levels of inter-rater agreement at 96% and 98%. Finally, Item 8 asked what members needed to do for an OCAC group to be successful. Responses were coded into 10 categories with a 91% level of inter-rater agreement.

#### Results

This section presents the main results from the questionnaire data. Findings are reported as rounded percentages.

### **Place**

Item 2 asked students to name the one place where they usually did their OCAC. Table 2 presents the students responses. By far the most popular place chosen was what are called "study benches". These are large tables with benches fixed on either side. The benches are rudimentary but functional, allowing up to about eight people to work - and also eat. They are placed along almost all the outside corridors at the university, often at points of considerable traffic between classes. The second most popular place was the library.

### Table 2

### Place Where Students Most Frequently Met for OCAC

Place	%
Study bench	47
Library	20
Tutorial/Seminar room	8
Discussion /	6
Reading Room	
Hostel	4
Someone's room	4
Other	4
Canteen	3
Fast Food Restaurant	2
Computer Lab	1

### Purpose of OCAC

Item 3 in the questionnaire asked about the frequency with which students engaged in SI OCAC for various purposes. These data are displayed in Table 3. The least popular activity for SI OCAC was to review a lecture. When students met for OCAC they worked mostly on tutorials and projects. During exam time, almost the only purpose was to revise together.

Table 3

### Purposes and Frequencies of SI OCAC

	Review lecture	Prepare tutorial	Work on project	Revise exam	Other
Daily	0	1	1	8	2
Often	2	16	26	24	2
Occasionally	17	35	35	20	8
Seldom	26	21	18	22	2
Never	54	27	19	25	87

NB Seldom (once a month or less); Occasionally (once a week or less); Often (several times a week)

### **Session Duration**

Item 4 of the questionnaire asked students about the duration of one OCAC session or "sitting" (a single, uninterrupted stretch of time during which they studied together outside of class). This was subdivided into two types of sittings. Students reported that the average length of an OCAC sitting was:

- In an SI study group (during term time): 1.6 hours (sd 2.6).
- In an SI study group (during exam time): 3.1 hours (sd 3.2).

### Groupmates

Item 5 concerned the kinds of people whom students choose as groupmates in their SI OCAC. Table 4 presents their reported criteria. The top two criteria are "friends" and "same module or tutorial group (class)".

Table 4

### Criteria for Choosing SI OCAC Groupmates



Criteria	%
My friends	81
From the same module / tutorial group	76
Of the same sex	36
From the same ethnic group	32
Have same ability as or higher than me	32
From same hostel	13
From the same ECA group	10

### Group Size

Item 6 dealt with the usual size of OCAC groups. The mean size was 3.8 (sd 1.1).

Table 5 shows the percentage of respondents indicating various group sizes.

Table 5

Group Size for OCAC

Group size	%
2	15
3	36
4	29
5	11
6	6
7	1
8	2

### Benefits of OCAC

Item 7a asked about the benefits of OCAC. Responses are presented in Table 6.

The greatest advantage that students saw in OCAC was "better understanding", which included "more learning, recall through discussion and equal learning via collaboration".

Table 6

### Reported Benefits of OCAC

Benefit	%
better understanding	74
more ideas & viewpoints	50
motivates	42

combine abilities	36
social	25
affective	21
lightens workload	19
facilitates comparison	4
enhances communication skill	2
easier to meet lecturers	1/2

Percentage total sums to more than 100 because each respondent indicated up to 3 benefits.

Better understanding, more learning, and recall through discussion, equal learning via collaboration.

More ideas, information, and points of view.

Motivates - pushed to study, peer pressure.

Combine abilities - helping and learning from each other, disparity.

Social - builds relationships, get to know others, provide companionship.

Affective - more enjoyable, interesting, relaxed, confident; less stressful.

Lightens workload - more efficient, helps those who miss class.

Facilitates comparison with other students - how they study, what they understand - healthy competition.

Enhances communication skill - learn to co-operate.

Easier to meet with lecturers: because can go as a group or as representative of a group.

### **Drawbacks**

Item 7b asked about what participants saw as disadvantages of OCAC. Responses are presented in Table 7.

Table 7

### Reported Disadvantages of OCAC

Disadvantage	%
Waste of time - off point, inefficient, socialising, unsystematic, distracting	51
Deficient group members [either self or others] - copying, relying on others,	10
freeloading, coming late, coming unprepared, bad influence	
Incompatibility among group members in terms of study pace, schedules, ability	12
level, knowledge level, study habits, study topics, place [focus on group]	
Noisy, cannot concentrate	5
Disagreements, competition, rivalry	8
Place - hard to find place to study, must travel to the place, extra time & money	4
Having better group mates creates stress	2
Helping others takes away from one's own learning, others' questions come	5
before mine, less freedom because have to suit to others. [focus on individual]	
Blind leading the blind	2

### **Conditions for Success**

Item 8 asked students for their views on the conditions they considered necessary for OCAC groups to be successful. The three key conditions mentioned by participants dealt with the conduct of the members of the OCAC groups in regard to whether they came prepared, behaved in an on-task manner during OCAC sessions, and were willing to cooperate with one another. Students' ideas are presented in Table 8.

Table 8

### Conditions for Successful OCAC

Condition	%
Be disciplined during meetings	23
Prepare, be punctual, do what group assigns, and rely of self not just	16
group	
Have a mix of strengths among the group members	1
Have some enjoyment - make themselves comfortable, have a treat	1
afterwards, take breaks	
Know each other, like each other, trust each other, respect each other,	6
feel comfortable with each other, care about each other	
Be encouraging, enthusiastic, kind, friendly, patient, not pressurising	7
Help each other, share, ask questions, discuss, let everyone participate,	31
have a co-operative attitude	
Determine what, how, and when to study and be sure all should be clear	4
on this	
Have members with same ability level, study style, objectives, courses	3
being taken	
State views frankly, be willing to compromise, be open to ideas, try to	7
understand what others are saying, be tolerant, be considerate	

Discussion

### Limitations and Suggestions For Future Research

The generalizability of this study is limited to the four faculties at NUS in which data were collected and to the 1998-1999 academic year in which the data collection was done and the method by which it was done. Future research should employ additional means of data gathering, such as:

- 1. asking students to write journal entries of OCAC,
- 2. observing and/or taping OCAC sessions,
- interviewing students, teachers, caregivers, administrators, and other stakeholders about OCAC
- 4. comparing achievement of students who did and did not engage in OCAC.

Two studies of OCAC also done in Singapore used some of the data collection ideas in points 2 and 3 above (Jacobs, Hussein, & Fazilah, forthcoming; Lopez-Nerney, Meyer, Norhayati, Toh, Wu, Teng, Crookall, & Jacobs, forthcoming).

### Suggestions For Encouraging OCAC

From this research, a number of recommendations can be made. Our recommendations fall into X broad categories:

<u>Environment.</u> To make the environment conducive to OCAC, the following are suggested:

- 1. Small OCAC rooms be spread across the campus.
- 2. General facilities (libraries, computer labs) have OCAC rooms.
- OCAC rooms have basic facilities (spare paper, white board, computer connection, good lighting, comfortable chairs).



<u>Preparation.</u> Students appear to need preparation in order to do OCAC more effectively. Suggestions for facilitating this preparation are:

- 1. Short courses in which students and teachers learning about OCAC. Here, the literature on cooperative learning (Johnson & Johnson, 1994) will be useful.
- 2. OCAC counselors who help develop OCAC skills and match students looking for OCAC partners.
- 3. Small pamphlets about OCAC.
- 4. Discussion of OCAC during class time.

#### References

Bloom, B. S. (1984). The search for methods of group instruction as effective as one-to-one tutoring. Educational Leadership, 41(8), 4-17.

Bossert, S. T. (1988-1989). Cooperative activities in the classroom. *Review of Research* in Education, 15, 225-252.

Brown, J. S., Collins, A., & Duguid, P. (1989). Situation cognition and the culture of learning. *Educational Researcher*, 18, 32-42.

Bruner, J. (1966). Toward a theory of instruction. New York: Norton.

Cohen, E. G. (1994). Restructuring the classroom: Conditions for productive small groups. *Review of Educational Research 64*: 1-35.

Cushing, L. S. & Kennedy, C. H. (1997). Academic effects of providing peer support in general education classrooms on students without disabilities. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 30, 139-51.



Finn, L. L. (1997). Critical support services for college students with learning disabilities. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 412 712

George, P. G. (1999). Using cooperative learning in the college classroom. *The NEA Higher Education Journal*, 15(1), 33-38.

Jacobs, G. M., Hussein, A., & Fazilah Binte Mohamed-Ismail (forthcoming). An exploratory study of teacher-required out-of-class academic collaboration among students at a Singapore polytechnic.

Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1989). Cooperation and competition: Theory and research. Edina, MN: Interaction Book Company.

Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1994). Learning together and alone (4th ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Lopez-Nerney, S., Meyer, L., Norhayati Bte Mohd Ismail, Toh, J. E., Wu, S. M., Teng, S.

M. J., Crookall, D., & Jacobs, G. M. (forthcoming). Teachers' perspectives on out-ofclass academic collaboration among Singapore university students.

Palmer, P. J. (1998). The courage to teach: Exploring the inner landscape of a teacher's life. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Sharan, S. (1980). Cooperative learning in small groups: Recent methods and effects on achievement, attitudes and ethnic relations. *Review of Educational Research*, 50, 241-271.

Slavin, R. E., (1995). *Cooperative learning: Theory, research, and practice* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.

Sokolove, P. (1998, 16 October). A small experiment. Message sent to the Cooperative

Learning List <cl@jaring.my>

Treisman, P. U. (1983). Improving performance of minority students in college-level mathematics. Austin, TX: National Institute for Staff and Organizational Development, University of Texas. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 234 874.

Walberg, H. J. (1984). Improving the productivity of America's schools. Educational Leadership, 41(8), 19-27.

Acknowledgements: Sumru Akran

### Appendix

### Questionnaire

We are a group of lecturers from NUS and Regional Language Centre (RELC) who are conducting a study about student collaboration, i.e. the way students study together outside their classes for academic purposes.

PLEASE DO NOT WRITE YOUR NAME ON THIS QUESTIONNAIRE. You can be assured that all information you provide will remain strictly confidential. Your answers will in no way affect your grades or standing in class. We would appreciate your responding to the questions below honestly and completely.

Please go through the questions quickly and answer spontaneously, i.e. put down what comes to your mind first after reading the question - that is the most accurate answer.

#### **Background Data**

Sex: Faculty:	( ) Female	( ) Male 	
Year: Ethnic Group:	()1 ()2 ()Chinese ()Eurasian	( ) Indian Others (Please specify)	() Malay



- Do you study together with other students outside of class, i.e. collaborate with other students for academic purposes related to any course?
  - () Yes (Please proceed to Q2 below.)
  - () No (Please proceed to Q7b.)
- 2. When you study together with other students outside of class, where do you usually meet? Name one place (eg. at a study bench on campus, at someone's home).

3. What is the **frequency** with which you do the following together with fellow students? Tick the appropriate boxes in the tables below.

	Never	Seldom (once a month or less)	Occasionally (once a week or	Often (several times a week)	Daily (during school days)
Review a lecture					
Prepare for a tutorial					
Work on a project/assignmen t					
Revise for a coming examination					

Other (please							
specify):							
4.	About how	long, at any one time, do you usually study together with other students outside of class:					
	a. In a stude	nt-initiated study group (during term time)? hours					
	b. In a stude	ent-initiated study group (during exam time)? hours					
5.	When y	ou choose your own group members, who do you study together with outside of class? (Tick					
	as many as applicable.)						
	() Usually students from the same module / tutorial group						
		() Usually students of the same sex					
		() Usually students from the same ethnic group					
		() Usually students from the same ECA group					
	( ) Usually students from the same ECA group  ( ) Usually students who have same ability as or higher than you						
		() Usually students from the same hostel					
		( ) Usually students who are your friends					
		() Others: (Please specify.)					
	6.	What is the usual size of the group (including yourself) which you study with?					
7.	a. What do you see as the advantages of studying together in a group? (Name						
		three.)					
		1.					
		2					

3.

b. What do you see as the **disadvantages** of studying together in a group? (Name three.)

1.

2.

3.

8. For a study group to be **successful**, members should:

1.

2.

3.

Thank you very much for helping us in this important research.

We appreciate your cooperation and time.



### U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)

National Library of Education (NLE)

Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



## REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATIO	N:			
	Class Academic Collaboration	n et a Simanone		
University				
Author(s): George Jacobs				
Corporate Source:	<del></del>	Publication Date:		
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE	<u> </u>	I		
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, R and electronic media, and sold through the EF reproduction release is granted, one of the following the state of the following the solution release is granted.	e timely and significant materials of interest to the educesources in Education (RIE), are usually made availa RIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Crediwing notices is affixed to the document.  Seminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE	ble to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, t is given to the source of each document, and, if		
of the page.	seminate the Identified document, please Cheor Che	of the following three options and sign at the bottom		
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be		
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY		
Sample		sample		
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)		
Level 1	2A Level 2A	2B Level 2B		
1	†-	Level 2D .		
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only		
	inerits will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality preproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be proc			
as indicated above. Reproduction from to contractors requires permission from t	ources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permis om the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by pers the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit nators in response to discrete inquiries.	sons other than FRIC employees and its system		
Sign Signature	Printed Name/F	osition/Title:		
here, → Organization/Address:	Ceorg	George M Jacobs Telephone: 127 150 FAX:		
please 30 crange Grove Road	65-	65-735-7159  E-Mail Address:		
FRIC SIMPPORE 22835.	am'ia cons	miaconspocificnet.sa 27/7/00		

# III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:					
Address:			`		
•					
				•	•
 Pri <b>ce</b> :			<u> </u>	<del></del>	
riice. ·					
			•		
IV. REFERRAL OF ER	IC TO COPYRIO	GHT/REPRODI	ICTION RIGH	אדפ אטו טבם.	
				•	
If the right to grant this reproduction address:	on release is held by sor	meone other than the a	iddressee, please pi	rovide the appropriate i	name ar
audiess.		•			
Name:					
		<del></del>			
addiess.	•				
•					
		<del></del>		<del></del>	
V WUEDE TO OFNE:					
V. WHERE TO SEND	HIS FORM:			•	•
<del></del>					
Send this form to the following ERIC	Clearinghouse:			•	
• .	OUR	NEW ADDRESS AS OF	CEDTEMPED 1 100	0	•
	•	Center for Applied	Linauistics	8	
		4646 40th Stre	eet NW		
		Washington DC 20	0016-1859		
		<del></del>			
However, if solicited by the ERIC I contributed) to:	Facility, or if making an	unsolicited contribution	to ERIC, return this	s form (and the docum	ent bein
oominuted) (U.		ng and Reference			
	1100 W	Vest Street, 2nd Floor	rachity		
	Laurel, I	Maryland 20707-3598			
	relepr	10nm 301-497-4080			

Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF THIS FORM ARE OBSOLETE.